Ore City Independent School District Ore City High School 2023-2024 Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: B



Mission Statement

To provide our students access to meaningful, productive lives through quality education.

Vision

Every graduate ready for college, career, and life.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Priority Problem Statements	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	5
Goals	7
Goal 1: Ore City High School will demonstrate and sustain increased State Assessment scores for all subjects and in all sub-population groups to achieve a rating of A in the F rating system.	e A- 8
State Compensatory	18
Budget for Ore City High School	19
Personnel for Ore City High School	19
Title I Personnel	19
Site-Based Decision Making Committee	20

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- PSAT

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data

- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: Ore City High School will demonstrate and sustain increased State Assessment scores for all subjects and in all sub-population groups to achieve a rating of A in the A-F rating system.

Performance Objective 1: To have at least 90% growth measure for all students and all subgroups on ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies measures

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR EOC data

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Each Teacher that teaches an EOC test will disaggregate 22-23 data using DMAC information system.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness Increase STAAR EOC Scores Positively impact student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction 	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Each teacher will meet within their departments and determine necessary interventions and student needs as a result of test results.		Formative	Ì
 When applicable, students will participate in HB 1416 remediation as part of MTSS. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness Increase STAAR scores Positively impact student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction 	Dec	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Assessments in all core subjects will be administered as pre & post tests to assess prior knowledge and set student-growth		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness Increase STAAR scores Positively impact student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Teachers Curriculum Director Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 	Dec	Mar	June
- ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 4: Relevant professional development opportunities will be set based on staff development needs assessment as well as the goal setting component in T-TESS		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness Increase STAAR scores Positively impact student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Curriculum Director Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 5 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 5: Implementation of the tutoring requirements of HB1416, as well as optional tutoring time available before, after and during the regular school day.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR Scores Positively impact student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Teachers Title I:	Dec	Mar	June
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			

Strategy 6 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 6: Increase the number of students who score at the Meets and Masters level on STAAR EOC tests by using high-yield teaching		Formative	
strategies, TEKS RS	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR Scores Positively impact student success			
r ostrivery impact student success			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 7: Address the academic needs of our growing list of Emergent Bilingual students		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR Scores	Dec	Mar	June
Positively impact student success			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Teachers			
Student Services Director			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 8: Set appropriate academic goal and growth measures for our Special Education student population		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Positively impact student success	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Teachers			
Student Services Director			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math 			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:			

Strategy 9 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
trategy 9: Continue MTSS for At-Risk population per HB 1416		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores Positively impact student success	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 10 Details	Foi	rmative Rev	iews
rategy 10: Identify students who are at-risk of EOC failure, not completing Graduation Requirements, and Dropout.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Graduation Rate	Dec	Mar	Jun
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Teachers Counselor			
Couliseion			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Connect high school to career and college			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 11 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
rategy 11: Continued evaluation of students for special programs		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores	Dec	Mar	Jun
Positively impact student success			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Teachers			
Student Services Director			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			

Strategy 12 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 12: Personal Graduation Plan of file for every student		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores Positively impact student success	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor			
TEA Priorities:			
Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning			
Strategy 13 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 13: Work toward having all employees certified in their content areas.		Formative	_
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Positively impact student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	Dec	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 14 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 14: Rebel Response available to all students		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores Positively impact student success	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Teachers			
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			

Strategy 15 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 15: Continuation of the mentoring program for new and inexperienced teachers		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores	Dec	Mar	June	
Positively impact student success				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing				
Strategy 16 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
trategy 16: Improve teacher collaboration across curriculums		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores	Dec	Mar	June	
Positively impact student success			oune	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 17 Details	Fo	rmative Revi	iews	
trategy 17: Continue Pre-Algebra class for struggling math students		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores	Dec	Mar	June	
Positively impact student success		iviai	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:		1	1	
- TEA Priorities:				

Strategy 18 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 18: Provide attendance incentives to address poor attendance		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase STAAR EOC Scores	Dec	Mar	June
Positively impact student success			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Office Staff			
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	e		

Goal 1: Ore City High School will demonstrate and sustain increased State Assessment scores for all subjects and in all sub-population groups to achieve a rating of A in the A-F rating system.

Performance Objective 2: 100% of students will be CCMR complete complete per TEA accountability

High Priority

Strategy 1 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: Host an annual CTE fair for incoming students		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased CTE enrollment and Program of Study Completion Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal CTE Director Teachers	Dec	Mar	June
 Title I: 2.5, 2.6, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture 			
Strategy 2 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Continue expanding CTE opportunities for 8th grade students		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased CTE enrollment and Program of Study Completion Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal CTE Director	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture			

Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 3: Continue utilizing Math & English college prep coursework		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students graduating "college ready" Higher percent of student "TSI Complete" Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	Dec	Mar	June
Counselor			
Title I:			
2.5 - TEA Priorities:			
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Pay for Sophomores and Juniors TSI test		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students "TSI Complete"	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Counselor			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Connect high school to career and college			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 5: Offering and paying for all Juniors and Seniors to take the ACT on campus during the school day.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Principal	Dec	Mar	June
Testing Coordinator			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Title I:			
2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers:			

Strategy 6 Details	Fo	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 6: Offer Dual-Credit/Dual-Enrollment courses as appropriate		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students will graduate as "College Ready" Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor	Dec	Mar	June	
 Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Strategy 7 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 7: Encourage and allow US military recruiters on campus		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More enlistments in the armed forces Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	Dec	Mar	June	
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 8 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 8: Recognition of alumni who served in the US military (Wall of Honor)		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More enlistments in US Military Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	Dec	Mar	June	
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 9 Details	Fo	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 9: Attend College/Career fair as appropriate		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students with a plan post-graduation Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor	Dec	Mar	June	
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 10 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 10: Host an annual FAFSA night for students and parents		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students completing the FAFSA	Dec	Dec Mar	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Counselor			
TEA Priorities:			
Connect high school to career and college			
Strategy 11 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 11: Increase completion rate for CTE Industry-Based Certifications (IBCs)		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students "Career Ready" post high school	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
CTE Director			
Title I:			
2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Connect high school to career and college			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and			
Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discontinu	I	I	I

State Compensatory

Budget for Ore City High School

Total SCE Funds: \$303,804.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 7 **Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs**

Teacher Salaries

Personnel for Ore City High School

Name	Position	<u>FTE</u>
Julie Edwards	Teacher	1
Leticia Manriquez	Teacher	1
Rebecca Organ	Paraprofessional	1
Rita Clawson	Teacher	1
Sally Cariker	Teacher	1
Sarah Freeman	Teacher	1
Wendy Henson	Teacher	1

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
Brenda Decker	HS SPED Paraprofessional	Special Education	1
Donnie Plant	Teacher	Gen Ed Teacher	1
Karina Garza	HS ESL Paraprofessional	ESL	1
Kurt Bannert	LPC	Counseling	1
Stephen Holly	Behavioral Interventionist	On-Campus Intervention	1
William Rogers	Safety and Security Director	Safety & Security	1

Site-Based Decision Making Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Travis Orms	Principal
Administrator	Amy McFatridge	Assistant Principal
Classroom Teacher	Sally Cariker	Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Donald Plant	Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Julie Edwards	Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Tara Stewart	Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Kenneth Adcock	Teacher
Administrator	Kimberly Freeman	Student Services Director
Student	Noah Garcia	Student
Parent	Sean Morgan	Parent
Parent	Teresa Ainsworth	Parent
Community Representative	Angie Edwards	Mayor